

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/763,706	01/22/2004	Rob van Wouw	16664-US 7679		
7590 07/11/2005			EXAMINER		
Stephen D. Dellett			TORRES, ALICIA M		
Patent Department DEERE & COMPANY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One John Deere Place			3671		
Moline, IL 61265-8098			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/763,706	WOUW, ROB VAN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alicia M. Torres	3671		

Advisory Action	10//63,706	VVOOVV, ROB VAN				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alicia M. Torres	3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
HE REPLY FILED 30 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follop laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILED	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extensio final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS	hus major to the date of filling a brid	f will not be entered b	haaayaa			
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected cialms.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		<b>,</b>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		, timely filed amendm	ient canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-4, 6-10, 13-15, 17-20.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a f nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>notice of Appeal will notice in the control of the control</u>	<u>iot</u> be entered is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	:hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:			
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other:</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments made to claims 1 and 14, that the mower be a riding mower and that the gear-box be made of one piece, raise new issue that would require further search and consideration.

> Thomas B. Will Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600